



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6610
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of orange peel flour on the quality of bread
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment The paper shows promise and well written.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is no major revision. Just a few technical flips	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	31 – people are different in their biochemical processes, rate of lowering of cholesterol may therefore vary among people, why not jus say lower cholesterol? 50 – variety, age, handling etc may influence the performance of the end product. How did you control quality of the oranges? 53 – why did you have to boil the orange peel, for how long did you boil it, how did you control the time and temperature of drying. Can you have another intensity of that sun shine another day?, like if one wants to repeat the experiment where there is rare sunshine. 62 – for how long did you store bread sample before you subjected them to the various analysis? Bread samples stabilized at 24 hours storage.	CORRECTED CORRECTED Boiling was just a processing step adopted by the authors. The temperature and duration of drying have been included in the work. CORRECTED



SDI Review Form 1.6

	68 – 71 Except for historical reasons or convenience of the methods, do you not realize that the methods are too old when there are more recent ones? 131 – levels instead of the level of phytochemical 164- 167 What about reduction in the cost of importation of wheat, encourage cultivation of more citrus plants and global warming effect, employment General comment: maintain the 0.nn format of numbers.	The methods were chosen for reasons convenience CORRECTED The conclusion was modified.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Topic is in keeping with the green health of the WHO	